PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

	REC'D	3	1	MAR	2006
1	WIDO	_	_	_	

International application No. Priority date (desystrontitylear) O7.11.2003 International application (IPC) or both national tilling date (daystrontitylear) O7.11.2003 International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national dessification and IPC INV. CO7K16/28 AG1K39/395 C12N15/13 CO7K19/00 A61P35/00 C07K16/18 G01N33/577 Applicant Applicant Applicant Applicant applicant according to Article 38. This international preliminary examination report has been prepared by this International Preliminary Examining Authority and is transmitted to the applicant according to Article 38. This REPORT consists of a total of 7 sheets, including this cover sheet. This report is also accompanied by ANNEXES, i.e. sheets of the description, claims and/or drawlings which have been amended and are the basis for this report and/or sheets containing rectifications made before this Authority. These annexes consist of a total of sheets.				
INV. C07K16/28 A61K39/395 C12N16/13 C07K19/00 A61P35/00 C07K16/18 G01N33/577 Applicant ABLYNX N.V. et al. 1. This international preliminary examination report has been prepared by this international Preliminary Examining Authority and is transmitted to the applicant according to Article 36. 2. This REPORT consists of a total of 7 sheets, including this cover sheet. □ This report is also accompanied by ANNEXES, i.e. sheets of the description, claims and/or drawings which have been amended and are the basis for this report and/or sheets containing rectifications made before this Authority (see Rule 76.16 and Section 50° of the Administrative hierarcticism under the PCT).				
1. This international preliminary examination report has been prepared by this International Preliminary Examining Authority and is transmitted to the applicant according to Article S6. 2. This REPORT consists of a total of 7 sheets, including this cover sheet. This report is also accompanied by ANNEXES, i.e. sheets of the description, claims and/or drawlings which have been amended and are the basis for this report and/or sheets containing rectifications made before this Authority (see Rule 70.16 and Section 60° of the Administrative heursulcine under the PCT).				
2. This REPORT consists of a total of 7 sheets, including this cover sheet. This report is also accompanied by ANNEXES, i.e. sheets of the description, claims and/or drawings which have been amended and are the basis for this report and/or sheets containing rectifications made before this Authority (see Rule 70.18 and Section 607 of the Administrative Instructions under the PCT).				
☐ This report is also accompanied by ANNEXES, i.e. sheets of the description, claims and/or drawings which have been amended and are the basis for this report and/or sheets containing rectifications made before this Authority (see Rule 70.18 and Section 907 of the Administrative Instructions under the PCT).				
seen amended and are the basis for this report and/or sheets containing rectifications made before this Authority (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative Instructions under the PCT).				
These annexes consist of a total of sheets.				
This report contains indications relating to the following items:				
Basis of the opinion				
II Priority				
III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability				
IV Lack of unity of invention				
V Areasoned statement under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement				
VI Gertain documents cited				
VII Certain defects in the international application				
VIII Certain observations on the international application				
Date of submission of the demand Date of completion of this report				
20.05.2005 30.03.2006				
Name and mailing address of the international preliminary examining authority: Authorized Officer				
European Patent Office - P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2 NL-2280 HV Rijswijk - Pays Bas Tel. 431 70 340 - 2040 Tx: 31-551 epo nl Le Flac, K				
Fax: +31 70 340 - 3018 Telephone No. +31 70 340-1040				

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No. PCT/BE 03/00189

ı.	Basis	of the	repor

 With regard to the elements of the international application (Replacement sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this report as "originally filled" and are not annexed to this report since they do not contain amendments (Rules 70.16 and 70.17).

	Des	Description, Pages				
	1-5	7	as originally filed			
	Cla	Claims, Numbers				
1-45			as originally filed			
	Dra	Drawings, Figures				
	1-9		as originally filed			
2.	Witi	With regard to the language, all the elements marked above were available or furnished to this Authority in the language in which the international application was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.				
	These elements were available or furnished to this Authority in the following language: , which is:					
		the language of a tra	ansiation furnished for the purposes of the international search (under Rule 23.1(b)).			
			lication of the international application (under Rule 48.3(b)),			
		the language of a tra Rule 55.2 and/or 55.	anslation furnished for the purposes of international preliminary examination (under .3).			
3.	Witi	If the regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application, the iternational preliminary examination was carried out on the basis of the sequence listing:				
		contained in the inte	rnational application in written form.			
		filed together with th	e international application in computer readable form.			
	\boxtimes	furnished subsequently to this Authority in written form.				
	\boxtimes	furnished subsequently to this Authority in computer readable form.				
	×	The statement that the subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not go beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed has been furnished.				
	×	The statement that t listing has been furn	he information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written sequence ished.			
4.	I. The amendments have resulted in the cancellation of:					
		the description,	pages;			
		the claims,	Nos.:			
		the drawings,	sheets:			

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No. PCT/BE 03/00189

5.		This report has been established as if (some of) the amendments had not been made, since they have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed (Rule 70.2(c)).				
		(Any replacement sheet con report.)	taining	such amend	iments must be referred to under item 1 and annexed to this	
6.	Add	ditional observations, if necess	sary:			
Ifl.	. No	n-establishment of opinion v	with re	gard to nov	elty, inventive step and industrial applicability	
	The	ne questions whether the claimed invention appears to be novel, to involve an inventive step (to be non- vious), or to be industrially applicable have not been examined in respect of:				
	□ the entire international application,					
	×	claims Nos. 14-16 (totally) ar	nd 18,1	9,33 (partial	ly)	
because:						
		the said international application not require an international p	tion, or relimin	the said cla ary examina	ims Nos. relate to the following subject matter which does tion (specify):	
		the description, claims or dra that no meaningful opinion co	wings ould be	(indicate par formed (spe	ticular elements below) or said claims Nos. are so unclear ecity):	
		the claims, or said claims No could be formed.	s. are :	so inadequat	ely supported by the description that no meaningful opinion	
	M	no international search repor (partially)	t has b	een establisi	hed for the said claims Nos. 14-16 (totally) and 18,19, 33	
2.	Oi a	meaningful international preliminary examination cannot be carried out due to the failure of the nucleofide and/ amino acid sequence listing to comply with the standard provided for in Annex C of the Administrative structions:				
		the written form has not been	furnis	hed or does	not comply with the Standard.	
		the computer readable form h	nas not	been furnish	ned or does not comply with the Standard.	
٧.	Rea cita	easoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; tations and explanations supporting such statement				
1.	Stat	Statement				
	Nov	elty (N)	Yes: No:	Claims Claims	1-13,17-45	
	Inve	ntive step (IS)	Yes: No:	Claims Claims	1-13,17-45	
	Indu	strial applicability (IA)	Yes: No:	Claims Claims	1-13,17-45	
2.	Cltat	ions and explanations				

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No. PCT/BE 03/00189

see separate sheet

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT - SEPARATE SHEET

Re Item V

Reference is made to the following documents:

- D1: US 2002/058033 A1 (Bonner J et al) 16 May 2002
- D2: ARBABI GHAHROUDI M ET AL: "Selection and identification of single domain antibody fragments from camel heavy-chain antibodies" FEBS LETTERS, vol. 414, no. 3, 15 September 1997, pages 521-526, XP002069903
- D3: US 2003/092892 A1 (Howell S et al) 15 May 2003
- D4: CORTEZ-RETAMOZO V ET AL: "Efficient tumor targeting by single-domain antibody fragments of camels" INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF CANCER, vol. 98, no. 3, 20 March 2002, pages 456-462, XP002248403

Claims 1-13 and 17-45 are novel since they relate to single domain antibody against EGFR and uses thereof, which are not disclosed in any of the cited documents.

1. Lack of inventive step; claims 1-9, 17-45

The present application does not meet the criteria of Article 33(1) PCT, because the subjectmatter of claim 1 does not involve an inventive step in the sense of Article 33(3) PCT.

The document D1 is regarded as being the closest prior art to the subject-matter of claim 1, and discloses (the references in parentheses applying to this document) anti EGFR human single chain antibodies (scFV, §45) isolated by screening phage library. Such antibodies are used to detect breast cancer tumours (§93).

The subject-matter of claim 1 therefore differs from these known antibodies in that it comprises at least one single domain antibody, with specific sequences. The effect of the difference is that it is an alternative form of antibody.

The problem to be solved by the present invention may therefore be regarded as the provision of an alternative form of anti EGFR antibodies. The solution proposed in claim 1 of the present application cannot be considered as involving an inventive step (Article 33(3) PCT) for the following reasons.

Document **D2** discloses the immunisation of dromedary with tetanus toxold and lysozyme, the isolation of mRNA from the blood, the construction of a library and the selection of soluble VHH fragments. The interest of such VHHs for preparing multivalent binders having an increased avidity is mentioned (p.521, right-hand column, §4,5; p.522, left-hand column; p.525, right-hand column, §3). It is therefore considered that the skilled person when trying to solve the problem posed would apply the teaching of D2 for obtaining a VHH antibody anti EGFR. The preparation of VHHs antibodies itself is not considered inventive since it is known from many documents published before the filing date describing such a method (see also WOO3/054016). Applying a known technique for preparing antibodies to another particular protein, here EGFR, is not considered to require inventive skills.

However part of claim 2 is considered as involving an inventive step for the following reason. The fact that in example 7 it has been shown that recombinant nanobody EGFRIIIa42 is able to internalize Her-14 but not 3T3 cells is a particular property supporting the inventive step of part of claim 2. Should the applicant submit data showing unexpected properties to the 22 anti EGFR disclosed in the application, an inventive step may be recognized for claim 2. Otherwise restriction to the particular example is required.

Dependent claims 3 and 4 dealing with polypeptide further comprising a single domain antibody directed against a serum protein or against IFN-gamma, TNF-alpha, IFN-gamma receptor or TNF-alpha receptor do not appear to involve an inventive step since document D2 discloses the interest of having multivalent VHHs.

Dependent daims 5-9 do not contain any features which, in combination with the features of any claim to which they refer, meet the requirements of the PCT in respect of inventive step. The same reasoning applies, mutatis mutandis, to the subject-matter of the corresponding independent claim 17, which therefore is also considered not inventive.

Claims 18-36 relating to the therapeutical use and the diagnostic use of the antibodies is anticipated by D1 which discloses the detection of breast cancer with anti EGFR antibodies and describes the therapeutical uses for treating cancers. Such claims are therefore not considered to involve an inventive step. Neither are claims 37-45 related to the use, the method for producing a polypeptide, a kit and a therapeutic composition.

EXAMINATION REPORT - SEPARATE SHEET

2. Inventive step and support : claims 10-13

Claims 10-12 relating to a method of identifying an agent that modulates the binding of an anti-EGFR polypeptide of any of claims 1 to 9 and claim 13 relating to a kit for screening for agents that modulate EGFR - mediated disorders are speculative, not supported as required by Article 6 PCT and not disclosed in the description as required by Article 5 PCT. These claims are attempting to solve a hypothetical problem without providing a solution. As a consequence it is not considered that such claims involve inventive step.

3. Clarity

Claims 1-9 are not supported by the description as required by Article 6 PCT, as their scope is broader than justified by the description and drawings. The reasons therefor are the following: the examples deal with Camelidae VHHs antibody against EGFR. The description and example convey the impression that anti EGFR polypoptide comprising at least one single domain antibody against EGFR can only be prepared as camelidae VHHs and no alternative forms are envisaged. Considering using such a terminology is possible the term 'an anti-EGFR polypoptide comprising at least' is too vague and the claims are not supported by the description as required by Article 6 PCT.

Claims 8 and 9 do not meet the requirements of Article 6 PCT in that the matter for which protection is sought is not clearly defined. The following functional statements do not enable the skilled person to determine which technical features are necessary to perform the stated function: homologous sequence, a functional portion.